Preserve

App. F. IX-4

1931.
(Nuzrai)

File No. 131-31

Serial Nos. 1-

*Previous References*

Nuz 1-29-28 29
84-26-1-3-
100-27-1-3
214-22-1-49.

*Later References*

Nuz 273A-43-1-3
Judl 201-35-1-2
Revenue 379-39-1-4.
27-43-1-2

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

*Serial Nos.* 7

**Part B for** March 33.

---

SUBJECT.

Re. cognition of Kuppamma alias Lakshmi claim for rewards Krishnadass charity Vrithee, claim in condition of her constructing a house at ~~Position~~ Seringapatam

---

To. The Nuz Com
" Revn Com
" Comptroller
" Supdt Revn Survey

No. 1261-4 F. 27-2 33.

Warning the recovery of Rs 500/- from Kuppam and declining the restoration of the rifle Commended.

7 F.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 .

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Letter No 884/22-23 dt 30.11.1931 from the Mysore Land Revenue Commissioner to the Dewan Commissioner Mysore with Enclosing Petition of Kuppamma Letter dt undated is a hard one deserving of sympathetic reconsideration on the ground that she is willing to build a house at Srirangapatna within a fixed time her claim for free site should be accepted. Submitted for orders |

4792/16/12

GS 14/12 CB

H. Secy) 14.12.31

1) The reopening of the case in favour of one individual may lead to applications for similar treatments from the four other persons to whom advances were given.

4 The petitioner P. S. Subramanya dt alleges the reconsideration dt the case was rejected in April 1928.

5 Please enquire dt the M.C whether the advances made to Muslims have been recovered, as directed in the dt dt (illegible).

(1) A. Narasimha

(2) D. Subba Rao

(3) Srinivasa Murthy

(4) N. Shiva Murthy Adm.

TYPING SECTION 31 DEC. 1931 CEIL & VEGT

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Yes be orders above is submd.

Issue 30.12.31

31.

29.12

File No. 6 of 1931. | General and Revenue Secretariat

No 791 dt 4.1.32 from the Mysore Commissioner
Calling for additional information.

Two petitions dt 2/2/32 and 8/2/32
from Kuppamma alias Lakshamma
Chamarajmohalla Mysore requesting
that her Claim for Rs — now
Krishnadoss Chanchis Srirangapatam —
be kindly recognised

Petition dt 5/2/32 from R. Subba Rao
Mysore praying that the amount due
to his share of Rs — now Krishnadoss
Chanchis Srirangapatam be caused
to be paid to him —

Petition dt 1-2-32 from Sri
Srinivasa Maddy of Bellary praying
regarding that he may be given
the full amount of Rs — now
Krishnadoss Chanchis — Srirangapatam —
W/R forwarding these petitions
for disposal in continuation of Board's

Ch 19/2 Jr. Asst.

For perusal before issue
20/2/32

22/2

No 1203 dt 26.2-32 from the Deputy
Commissioner forwarding the petitions
referred to above in continuation

Ch 2/3/32

Ch 6250

TYPING SECTION
22 FEB 1932
SECRETARIAT

Ch 2/3/32

Ch No 1084/31
Dt No 868
"400

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mug File No. | of 1932-3

Serial No.

Letter no 884/22-23 dt 6.4.32 from the Mysore Commissioner forwarding copy of letter No. H. 44/A.C. dt 23/6/32 from the Deputy Commissioner Nagamangala stating that the advances made to the Vrittidars referred to therein have not been received and requesting that the claim of Kuppamma for Vritti amount be recognised and permission be given to her to build the house at Seringapatam on completion of which her share of the Vritti amount may be ordered to be paid.

Kuppamma is the 5th person in the list of persons who have been already in receipt of Rs 300/- vide para 9 of G.O. No. 1317-21/Mug 264-22-9 dt 17.4.26. It is seen from the D.C's report of 23.6.32 that the advances have been received from these persons

Information furnished by the C.I. is both vague as to para 3 & 5 supra. The question is one for consideration on receipt of further communication to be furnished by the M.C—

Forwarded

Gs 9/7

Though the advance has not been received from Kuppamma as directed in G.O. dt 17.4.26, the D.C. recommends that, in view of her poverty, she may be permitted to build the house at Seringapatam. The M.C. also recommends likewise & adds that she on completion of the house.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

OFF
FIRST ME
16 JULY 1932
No... 379

her share of the Vritti amount may be ordered to be paid.

Under the circumstances the case of Kuppanna may, as a special case, be disposed of now by accepting the recommendation made by both the DC & the RE.

The disposal of the cases of the other Vrittidars may await further report from RE.

Noted-Pleaders in reference to Pn 38 supra.

Recd — 11/7/32 — for Secy / 1st Gr /

A. A — May be approved.

11/7/32

11/7/32

16/7

The stipulated Conditions were not Carried out for a very long period extd by some Vrittidars and it was therefore directed in GO of 17-4-26 that their Vrittis should be considered as forfeited. This may be a hard case but if the decision is adhered in regard to it the other Vrittidars are sure to come up with similar requests and urge some reasons in support of them. These are very old cases and it is not desirable to re-open them. If this widow is really in a destitute condition Consideration may be shown to her in regard to the recovery of the advance referred to in para 7 of the Govt. Order.

Secy 20-7-32 16/7/32

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 131 of 1931.

Serial No.

Whether an endorsement may be given to Kuppamma that she may be considered after the date of filing

Fr. Secy)

4 No. 242 By 2-8-32 to the Mysore Commissioner in bringing among other matters that it is not desirable to reopen the old cases relating to enclosure of Virkisdars of Nandi Krishnadeva Charities at Paschimavahini

Received with the reference below

An endorsement to Kuppamma does not appear necessary —

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Pet. No. 436

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

*Bangalore, dated* 31.8.1932.

FINANCE
2 SEP. 1932
No ....

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Mysore Department.

No. 2579

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
SEP 1932
283
MUZRAI.

By Order,

*Private Secretary to the Dewan.*

To

31 8.32
436

The Private Secretary,
to the Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I the under Signed Kuppa alias Lakshmamma Residing at No 28. Sonar Street Chamarajapet Mysore, beg to invite your kind reference to my application presented to the Dewan of Mysore personally at his office on 9-7-1932 regarding the restoration of my Share of Vritti claims endowed to me by the late Naval Krishnadas charitra at Paschimavahini, Srirangapatna Taluk.

I have represented all my grievances to the Dewan Sahib, and begged of him to restore my claim in the said Vrittis, for which the Dewan Sahib was pleased to promise to render all the help that he can do for me. I have also requested you about the same & you also were pleased to say that you would do the needful and help me in the restoration of my above claims. I therefore request you to kindly arrange to—

P.T.O.

(1)

are ready to dispose of my application
early and favourably.

For which act of kindness I shall
ever be grateful to you.

I remain
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant

8, Sonarstreet
Muirapuri
Mymensingh
6-8-1932

Left hand thumb mark
of Kusheswar Das
Lubo is illegible

The case is one wherein action has to be
taken when the M.C. takes the initiative
in respect of granting the Sum of Rs 500/-
One from the Vide Collector's No. 242 dt 2-8-32
ined by the M.C. in the matter.
request for the restoration of the claim
has been negatived - In these circumstances
this writer reports of appl. - The enhanced
Rs as the Sub's may 131-31-4 is cited.

9/8
1079 The petitioner may be informed
that her request made at A
(marked A in the petition) cannot
be complied with.

Sd
- 10/9/32
for Secy)

A - may be done.
Sd
12/9/32

TYPING SECTION
19. SEP. 1932
GENL. & REV SECTT.

Sd illegible
10/9 Sd

Sd 12/9/32

A
16/9
16/9

G.R.S. III—3]

## Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

No 491 of 22-9-1932

to Kuppamma alias Lakshamma in lunatic

that her request cannot be granted.

24/9

Petition of 27.9.32 from Kuppamma alias Lakshamma praying for a reconsideration of her claim to certain Vrittis in Nanjanagudu Chaukis of Paschima Vahini.

Circular notes & orders in para 4. supra. The petitioner has been definitely informed as per order granted on receipt of this office Endt No 491 of 20/9/32, she has again sent up a representation of her claim for a share in Vrittis belonging to Nanjanagudu Krishnadeva Chaukis.

If agreed, she will be told that her case admits of no reconsideration

5/11 Spo

Rehman Khan

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

## Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

perhaps be informed in reply
that the order already
passed cannot be
reconsidered.

7/11/32

7/11/32

10 NOV. 1932
No. 24-26

10/11/32

11/11

11/11

14/11

14 NOV 1932 No. 7850 16/11/32

Krishnamma alias
Lakshamma in his petition
that order already passed
cannot be reconsidered —

17/11

*N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.*

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 3 of 193/.

Serial No.

1 Letter No 884 of 17.12.1932 from the Deputy Commissioner forwarding copy of letter No H 44/AE-8 of 31.10.32 from the Deputy Commissioner regarding Writer recommending the recovery of the advance of Rs 500/- previously paid to Kelappanma may be waived and that Government be moved to reconsider her case and restore the Writ allowed to Meenu of the -

Re: The [illegible] Kelappanma, has informed in this office Endt No 4911/32-9.12.32 that her [illegible] against the [illegible] and [illegible] in this office letter No 755-8/K III/32 that [illegible] already passed in the matter and [illegible] final and [illegible]

The question of waiving the arrear of Rs 500/- [illegible] to the Minutes in file II [illegible]

4 The D.C. & contains the recommendation of the Malabar Commissioner in the Writ Petition of the Adhikari of the [illegible] Kelappanma -

Writ, [illegible] in file II [illegible] ordered that it is [illegible]

(Page 4 of the file) Flags

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W.D.? —GBPM— [illegible]

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

These old cases — , as can be dealt in the Taluk H.Q.

45 1/1 (a) The D.C. has again recommended the case of Kuppamma as a private land case and the D.C. has forwarded the Petition.

(b) A petn presented by Kuppamma to the 2nd Member on 5/1/33 is also filed herewith for orders.

13/1/33 (4)

for Secy)
18th Mr

46) N 2011
2/1/33

47) For reasons stated in my previous note. I think it is not desirable to Petition of 19.1.33 afford any assistance to the applicant Kuppamma building a house at Basavanagudi alias Lakshmanarao We may consider whether some cash payment for the allowance from the Vritti amount need to meet restoration of the building. May not be given to her for life 45 2011 on acct of her age and indigent condition. Please note on the admissibility of such an allce. The recovery of the advance given to her may be waived. Please also note which of the other cases of these Vrittis are of a similar nature and whether similar Concessions would have to be allowed by them.

26/1/33

26/1/33

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
23 JAN. 1933
No. 46 & 8

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 193

Serial No.

Kind ref^ce is invited to the Cases of Sriman Srinivasachar and Lakshminarasimhachar referred to in Paras 5 (1) & (2) of G.O. No 1317-21/ Muz 264-22-9 dy 17.4.26 and ref^ce These two Vidwans have been sanctioned the Vritti of Rs 72/- each for a period subject to the condition that the house are maintained in a habitable condition & are actually occupied by Brahmins. The case of Kuppamma is on a different footing. On account of her age & poverty the advance of Rs 500/- once from her is ordered to be waived. If she is given an annuity of Rs 72/- out of the Vritti amount this Order that the concession may be extended to the other Vidwans noted in the margin of Para 7 of the G.O. dated 10th April 1926 besides waiving the advance of Rs 500/- once for all.

Noted Work ref^ce in the Minutes in Para 4 -

As regards the admissibility of the allowance from the Vritti Amount kind ref^ce is invited to the instructions in front of Vritti Amount Communication

1 A. Narasimhaya
2 P. Subba Rao
3 Sreenivasa Murthi
4 N Anantha Murthachar

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. 131 of 193 | . .

General and Revenue Secretariat

para 6 of the Go. of Govt 26 (Rev II).
If any allowance is sanctd it can only be as a matter of grace & treated as special.

9/2/33

Fin Secy)
18.2 Mr)

20 FEB. 1933
No 339

I do not think it advisable to grant any allce to the petitioner. She may be informed that her request for restoration of Rs 15-11- cannot be granted - The DC's recommendation to waive recovery of the advance may be agreed

9/2/33

DC's letter & connected papers & DC's Endt to the letter is also herewith —

24/2

Govt Go No 1261-4 dy 27.2.1933 waiving the recovery of the advance of Rs 50-0-0 due from Kuppamma alias Lakshamma & declining the grant of her request for the restoration of Rs 15-11- to revenue.

Dy No 373 of 32-33 is detached —

Endt No 1265 dy 27.2.1933 to Kuppamma alias Lakshamma intimating the orders of Government dy 27.2.1933

M. C. 13]

2 - DEC 1931

No. 884/22-23
Encl 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

*Dated, Bangalore,* 27th November ...193 1.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
*Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

To Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
*Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
*the Maharaja of Mysore.*
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter ---- No.1061/Muz.84-26-4 dated 29th December 1927 stating that the orders of Government passed in Pro.No.1517-31/Muz.264-22-3 dated 17th April 1926 re Nowl Krishna Doss Charities at Peschineyanini and Seringapatam are final and that the Deputy Commissioner may be requested to give effect to them, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.44/A.C.dated 12th September 1931 ---- received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the case of Kuppamma alias Lakshamma is a hard one deserving of sympathetic reconsideration for reasons explained and recommending that as she is willing to build a house at Seringapatam within a fixed time her claim for the vritti amount may be ----- recognized. A petition presented to me by the individual ----- bringing to notice her indigent circumstances and the causes which disabled her from building the agrahar house within the allotted time and praying for relief is submitted for favour of perusal.

2. As the individual in question is a poor and helpless -- widow, I beg to recommend that she may, as a special case, be permitted to build a house, in respect of which she has been -- collecting materials, within eight months from the date of the

order

[illegible] 0.4/MU. dated [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District,

Mysore.

ost Respected sir,

I, the undersigned uppamma alias akshmamma residing in Sonar Street, Chamaraja Mohalla, ysore beg to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration and favourable orders.

I am one of the vrittidars of Paschimavahini evil Krishnadas Agraharam Charity in Sreerangapatam Taluk. As I am an old wodow, my son-in-law Hosadurgam Sreenivasaraghava Char was managing the family affairs. On my behalf the said Sreenivasaraghava Char was corresponding with Government offices in respect of this vritti especially in obtaining licensefor building a house in Sreerangapatam fort as per desire of Darmakarta and Government and for which he had received an advance of Rs. 500/- out of the accumulated amount of vritti in the year 1913. Being a woman and completely relying on my son-in-law's able and efficient management of affairs, I left everything in his hands not taking a keen interest in the matter. He was only keeping me informed that he had applied for the license to build the house and that he had not received the license on account of some controversy in regard to my claim to the site etc.

Now that the sid Sreenivasaraghava Char has died mainly of mental worries due to the death of his wife who was my daughter leaving four of her young children to my care and protection, I had to necessarily and unavoidably look after the affairs having no other male members in the family. Consequently I had to show all the papers to my well wishers for consulting them who informed me to my astonishment that

the vritti had been forfeited by the Government in their order No 1317-21 uz. 264-32-9 dated 17-4-26 due to the failure of not abiding by the conditions imposed by the Government in building a house in Sreerangapatam Fort in time for which an advance had been received.

The advance amount which was received for building the house has been spent on materials which has been damaged being exposed to sun and rain and also to the removal of them by wayfarers and neighbourers as the materials were not used on building, in time, due to the inordinate delay by the authorities in granting license, which fact has already been represented by my son-in-law to the authorities concerned. I, therefore beg to state that the construction of the house was delayed due to my ignorance and negligence of my son-in-law and I assure you, sir, that I will finish the construction of the house within any reasonable fixed time and I respectfully request you to direct the Sreerangapatam Muncipality to grant me a fresh license in lieu of one No. L.P.87,28-29 dated 22-6-1928 already granted to me and immediately cancelled.

I am an old widow and have got no own house to live in. I have now to maintain my grand children who are parentless and have to look to their education and future careers. I have noother means of living except the small earning of Rs. 18/- of my another widowed daughter who is an assistant mistress in one of the Govern ent Primary Girls School at Mysore. Out of sheer necessity to find a way to bring up the children I had to open my eyes now. If the vritti is not restored to me I am completely ruined.

I therefore respectfully request you to be good enough to kindly recommend my case to the Government for the restoration and payment of the balance amount of vritti. As stated above the failure to build the house in time was partly due to my ignorance and partly to the negligence of my son-

in-law and I bind myself ready to make any amends.

for which act of kindness and generousity I shall ever pray for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,

ost respected sir,

Your most oedient servant,

ysore.

27-3-31.

Appendix F G—1]

20

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 131-31

Serial No.

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 561.

No

To The Mis Commr -

Sir,

With ref to your letter
882/22-23 dated 30-11-31
Recommending that Kuppanna
may be permitted to cultivate in
within 8 months from date of order
and that he shall pay the water
may be ordered to be paid for
from the year of completion of
the house, I am directed
to state that the reopening
of the case in favour of the
individual may lead to applic-
cations for similar treatment
from others to whom advances
were given and to enquire

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193* .

Date of issue .....

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7 31

Q
whether the attendance, marked
the following have been received
is corrected in the last para of
the T.O. of 11-4-1926

A Narasimhiah
P Subba Rao

Sreenivasamurti
N.C Anantamurtiachar

I have to

30.12.31

for H Secy

Pet No. 1753

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, Dated, 11 · 4 · 1932

GENL. & REV. SEC. 1173 13 APR. 1932 MYSORE

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Mysore

Department, for disposal in continuation of this office pln. No. 1295 dated 4.2.32.

Vide memo of forwarded.
Home public Misc P.L.D.
Is marked below

By Order,

Private Secretary to the Dewan

Sent to M.C 21/4/32

1519 & 21/4/32

23

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

---

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1203/Aug dt 24-2-32

Encl - 1 petn.,

D. No 848 & 900 from Kuppamma alias Lakshamma — Chamaiya Mohalla Mysore.

D. No 868 — from M. R. Subbarao of Mysore

No 869 — from Mr. Srinivasa Aoorg Bhagya Yedatore — TK

The accompanying Petition & Registered No.s. 848, 900, 868 dated 1932 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from ~~the~~ Ryots of Kodagu to the Magistrate about the petitioners' share of Paddy in the lands affected by Hoot Krishna and Chandra Shingappa

are ~~is~~ forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~ to the Mr. C. in Council Revenue Department No. 9219 4.1.32 for ~~—~~

By Order,

24/2/32

Secretary. to Govt

Bangalore,

24th 1932

[P. T. O.

Copied by [illegible] 27/2/32

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮೇರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

Pet No. 1351

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN MYSORE.

*Bangalore, Dated,* 13-2-1932

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Mysore Department. for disposal, in continuation of this office pet. No. 1295 dated 4-2-32

By Order,

*Private Secretary to the Dewan.*

By Order,

Secretary.

25

Pet No. 1295




OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, Dated, 4 · 2 · 1932



The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Mysore Department. for disposal.

By Order,

*Private Secretary to the Dewan*

By Order,

Secretary.

2b

mr f.s.

DEWAN'S OFFICE

899

7th 17/2/1932.

# Order

No. 1350.

Name of Petitioner Srinivasa murty son of Ramavadhani

Village Bhenya

Taluk Yadavini

District Mysore

Date of Petition /

Date of Receipt 13.2.32

No. of Enclosures Nil

ABSTRACT.

Prays for the payment of the full amount of the Vritti due to him for completing his house at Seringapatam. Submitted.

12th.

13/2

Mysore Secretary's Compliments. 1350.

for SECRETARY TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

M. C. 13J

No. 884/22-3
Encls 2.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,

Mys 13-31-3

*Bangalore,* 4th July *1932.*

6 2)

From

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
*Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,

*Secretary to Government of His Highness*
*the Maharaja of Mysore,*

Financial *Department.*

2/7/7

Sir,

Referring to correspondence ending with your Official Memorandum No. 1203/Muz. dated 23-2-32 re: claim of certain Vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities for their share of the Vritti, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.44/AC. dated 23-6-32 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the advances made to the Vrittidars referred to in para 7 of G.O. No.1317-21/Muz.264-22-9 dated 17-4-26 have not been recovered and that enquiries are being made as to why licences to these Vrittidars were not granted to construct the houses and that a further communication would be made in the matter.

The Deputy Commissioner however recommends again the case of Kuppamma in view of her poverty and helplessness and requests that her claim for the Vritti amount may be recognised as already recommended. In the circumstances explained in this office letter of the 30th November 1931 permission may be accorded to Kuppamma to build the house at Seringapatam on completion of which her share of the Vritti amount may be ordered to be paid.

The enclosure to the Deputy Commissioner's letter is sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S P Rajagopal

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.44/AC. dated 23-6-32 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

---

Nowl Krishna Doss Charities - Seringapatam - restoration of Vritti claim of Kuppamma and other Vrittidars.

---

With reference to your Memo No.884/22-33 dated 15th January 1932 and 10th March 1932 regarding the restoration of the Vritti claim of Kuppamma and other Vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss Charities at Seringapatam, I have the honor to state that the advances made to the Vrittidars have not yet been recovered. The Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk reports that the Vrittidars have stated that because licenses were not granted by the Municipality they could not build the houses that if licenses are granted they are ready to construct the houses and that after the houses are built by them their claim over the vrittis may be recognised. The Amildar states that he has requested the Municipal President to intimate the reasom as to why licenses were not granted to the Vrittidars and that a further report will be submitted in the matter. I have asked the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks to send a report of the Amildar early.

The case of Kuppamma is a hard one as she is a poor and helpless widow, who is stated to have collected materials for the construction of the house. I request that Government may kindly be moved to recognize her Vritti claim as already recommended. The case of the other Vrittidars will be considered separately after the report of the Amildar is received.

---

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner

DEWAN'S OFFICE
524
22 JUL. 1932

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
13 JUL. 1932
MUZRAI.

To

Amin-ul-mulk Sir, Mirza M.Ismail, C.I.E., O.B.E.,

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

Most Respected Sir,

I, the undersigned, Kuppamma alias Lakshmamma, residing in Sowar street, Chamaraja Mohalla, Mysore beg to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration and favourable orders.

I am one of the vrittidars of Naval Krishnadas charities at Paschimavahini, Seringapatam Taluk. As I am an old widow, my son-in-law, Hosadurgum Srinivasaraghavachar was managing the family affairs. On my behalf the said Srinivasaraghavachar was corresponding with Government Offices in respect of obtaining License for building house in Seringapatam Fort, as per desire of Dharmakartha and Government for which he had received ~~our~~ one advance of Rs.500/- out of the accumalated amount of vritti in 1918. Being a women relying also on his able and efficient management of affairs I left everything in his hands not taking a keen interest in the matter. He was keeping me informed that he had applied for the license to build the home and that he had not received the license on account of some contraversy in regard to the claim to the site.

Now that the said Srinivasaraghavachar died mainly of mental worries due to the death of his wife who was my daughter leaving four of her young children to my care and protection. After his death, I had to unavoidably look to all affairs having no other male members in my family. I showed the papers to my well wishers who informed ~~in their order~~ me that the vritti has been forfeited by the Government in their Order No.1317-21/Muz. 264-22-9 dated 17th April 1926 due to the failure of not abiding by the conditions imposed by Government in building a house in

Seringapatam

Seringapatam Fort in time for which an advance had been received. The advance amount which was received for building a house had been spent on materials which has been damaged due to sun and rain and also to the removal by way-farers and neighbourers, as the same was not used for the building due to the inordinate delay by the authorities in granting license, which fact has already been represented by my son-in-law to the authorities concerned. Now I am agreeable to build a house at my cost on the site originally alloted to me and I respectfully beg to request you to kindly order to restore my claims in the vrittis and also to grant me the required license from the Seringapatam Municipality for building the house.

I am an old widow, have got no own house to live in. I have to maintain my four grand children who are parentless have to look to their education and future career. I have no other means of living except the small earning of Rs.20/- of my another - widowed daughter who is an assistant mistress in one of the Govt. Primary Girls' Schools at Mysore. I have no other ancestral property except the said vrittis, and if the vritti is also taken away, due to my ignorance myself and my children are completely ruined .

I have represented all these facts to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District in person as well as in my applications. After hearing all my petiable circumstances the Deputy Commissioner was kind enough to promise to recommend my case to the higher authorities to restore my claims in the said vrittis.

Under these circumstances I earnestly pray that my petitioners case may kindly be reconsidered and ordered to restore my claims in the said vrittis and also for the payment of the balance amount of vritti after completing the building at Seringapatam Fort.

P.T.O.

As stated above the failure to build the house in time was due to my ignorance and also to mismanagement of my son-in-law and I assure your goodselves that I am ready to make any amends.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever pray for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your obedient servant,

Mysore
2 FEBRUARY 1932
9-1-32

Left thumb impression
of Kuppamma alias Lakshamma.

32

1st August 193 .

No.242/Muz:131-31-4.

Encl: 1.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Claim of [illegible] vritti [illegible] Charities at Paschimavahini, Seringapatam, for their share of the vritti.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.884/22-23 dated 6th July 1932 on the above subject, I am -- directed to observe that the stipulated conditions were not carried out for a very long period by some Vrittidars and it was therefore directed in Government Order No.1317-21/Muz.364-22-9 dated 17th April 1926 that the vrittis should be considered as forfeited, and to state that Government do not consider it desirable to reopen these cases which are very old. I am to add that there is no need to insist on houses being built in an insanitary place like Paschimavahini and that if Kupamma is really in a destitute condition, consideration may be shown to her in regard to the recovery of the advance mentioned in para 7 of the Government Order dated 17th April 1926 referred to above.

Petition dated 3rd February 1932 of Kuppamma addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

NSG.2.

33

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mucg

File No. 131-31

Serial No. 4.

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

No. 247-/Mucg —

To Chief Secretary to Govt. Madras

Subject: — Claim of certain Vrittidars of the Mysore Commissionerate Seringapatam for their share of the Vritti. Seringapatam —

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* 193 .

Date of issue 2. 8 32.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 884/22-23 dt 6/7/32 on the above subject, Claims of certain Vrittidars for their share of Vritti I am directed to observe that the stipulated conditions were not carried out for a very long period by some Vrittidars and it was therefore directed in G.O. No 1317-21/ Mucg 264-22-9 dt 17.4.26 that

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

3-1

Their Worships should be considered
and to state that though the case of
(so far stated) ~~Gove and the others~~ and ~~Not~~ to state that
Kuppanna, one of the Petitioners, is a hard one,
~~of opinion that they~~ Govt do not consider
~~It is not desirable to reopen Revision Petns like these~~ it desirable to reopen
~~old cases, and it is not desirable~~ these cases which are
~~to re-open them~~ - ~~further they are~~ very old.
I am to add
~~of opinion~~ that there is no need to insist on
~~for this is why the~~ cases being such
in an insanitary place like Paschimavahini -
and that if
~~it is~~ Kuppanna is really
in a destitute condition, Consideration
may be shown to her in regard
~~to~~ the recovery of the arrears mentioned
~~referred to~~ in para 7 of the
dy 17-6-26)
Govt order ~~regd~~ herein -
Petn dy 8.12.32 of Kuppanna
addressed to the D.C. Nagpur Dt.,
is herewith returned.
Dft case etc

28/7/32 for See above
7/8 dept

G.J
28/7.

Please quote this number in your future communications
No 491/Rev3

**Office of the**

36

*Dated* 22.9.... 1932.

## ENDORSEMENT

To

.... Kubbamma alias Lakshamma
... No. 28. Sovar. Steel Chamarajpet
Mysore City.

Reference ~~His~~/Her ~~letter~~/petition dated 26/8/32 addressed to the Private Secretary to the Dewan -

Subject :-

Re : restoration of lease - Share for private claims granted of Nadi Krishna Devi Charities at Paschimavahini, Srirangapatna

Reference is invited to item No. 1 ...below.

*Signature.* D 19/9/32

*Designation.* for Secretary to Govt
Gs to Minister

---

*1. The request cannot be granted .....

2. The letter/petition has been referred to .....
for.....

3. Attention is drawn to this office No. ... dated .....

4. No ..... dated..... cannot be traced, a copy may be sent.

5. The enclosures are not received.

6. The petition is returned herewith as
(i) it is not in the prescribed form
or (ii) it is not duly stamped
or (iii) it is not presented within the prescribed time
or (iv) it is not accompanied by a copy of the order appealed from or complained of.

7. The petition is returned for presentation to .....

8. The petition is returned
(*a* as it is written illegibly
(*b*) as its subject matter is not clear
(*c*) as it is couched in improper language

---

* ~~Reasons if any to be mentioned in brief~~

W 19/9

WD 01724—GPB—8,000—23 4-28

To

1/31/10 36

The Financial Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore

Sir,

Most Respected Sir,

Reference:- Your Endorsement No 491 Muz D 19-20 - September 32

I the under signed Kuppamma alias Lakshmamma Residing at No 28 Sonar street, Chamaraja mohalla, Mysore a Vrittidar of Naval Krishnadas charities at Paschimavahini Srirangapatam Taluk most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration and favourable orders.

The Vrittis in question are endowed by the charities of Naval Krishnadas in the year 1832 nearly 100 years ago which is purely a private concern and managed by the Government since 1865, as per request of one Pallabhayamma the heir of Naval Krishna das family In the statement of the said Pallabhayamma which is recorded at Srirangapatam Taluk office in 1870 that all Vrittidars should be allowed to build their agraharam houses at Srirangapatam Front, for which they should be paid all the accumulated amount of their Vrittis income to enable them to get their houses built ~~ready~~ early and enjoy the Vrittis income. This has been accepted by the Government and also granted some fumos & Sites to the Vrittidars in 1918.

In this connection I was also given a sum of Rs 500/- to build the house, but due to the delay in getting me a site in time and

P T.O

Please quote this number in your future communications
No. 785

Office of the S. R. S. Murgod

Dated 14/11/1932
16th.

Murgod

## ENDORSEMENT

To

Kumaraswamy alias Lakshmana
Up. 2.2. Sonar Street - Chamarajpet
Bangalore City.

Reference:—~~His/Her letter~~ later petition dated 27.9.32 and received on 29.10.1932.

Subject:—

Re: restoration of her share of Rs in Navil Krishnadevaraya Charities at Paschimavahini Basin & Agraharam

Reference is invited to item No 1 below.

*Signature.* 14/11/32

*Designation.* Joint Secretary

---

*1. ~~The request cannot be granted.~~ .. Orders already passed in the matter are final and cannot be reconsidered

2. The letter/petition has been referred to ... ... .. ... ... . ..... .. for..... ..... ..... .....

3. Attention is drawn to this office No. . . . . dated .... ..... ... ....

4. No.... .....dated... ... .... ... cannot be traced, a copy may be sent

5. The enclosures are not received.

6. The petition is returned herewith as
   (i) it is not in the prescribed form
   or (ii) it is not duly stamped
   or (iii) it is not presented within the prescribed time
   or (iv) it is not accompanied by a copy of the order appealed from or complained of.

7. The petition is returned for presentation to .... . ... .

8. The petition is returned
   (*a* as it is written illegibly
   (*b*) as its subject matter is not clear
   (*c*) as it is couched in improper language

~~Reasons if any to be mentioned in~~

WD 01724—GPB—8,000—13 4-28

8824 Rev.
22-23

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

*Bangalore, 16th December ... 193~.*

17




FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
*Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,*
*Bangalore.*

Mg 131-31 — 5

To

S

*Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
*the Maharaja of Mysore,*

*Finance ... Department.*




SIR,

19/12

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.242/Muz.131-31-4 dated 1st/2nd August 1932 re: the claim of certain Vrithidars of the Nowl Krishna Loss Shareholders at Periyapatam, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.44/A.C. dated 31-10-32 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recommending that, for reasons explained, the recovery of the advance of ₹ 500/- previously paid to supplement the share waived and that Government may be moved to reconstruct the share and ~~return~~ restore the Vrithi to her, i.e., the annual payment of ₹ 7½/-

42

Letter No. J.44/..3. dated 31-10-.. from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore.

---

Restoration of the claim of Ruppamma alias Lakshamma to the Vrithi of Dewan Krishna Doss Charity.

---

With reference to your Memo No.3647/ -28 dated 5th August 1908 regarding the restoration of the claim of other Vrithidars of the Dewan Krishna Doss Charity, Seringapatam, I have the honor to forward herewith the petition of Ruppamma alias Lakshamma praying for reasons stated therein, that her claim to the Vrithi may be restored to her as in the case of other two vrithidars whose claims were recognised by Government.

The case of the petitioner, Ruppamma is a deplorable one as she is a widow, and her case deserves special consideration unlike that of other vrithidars whose claims were forfeited to Government. The advance of Rs 500/- paid to her is said to have been spent by her son-in-law on the purchase of materials for the construction of the house at Seringapatam, and I see no reason to doubt her. She also states that the material lies on the site has disappeared. Anyway, her present condition is very pitiable and she has no wherewithal to pay back the amount. I have reasons to believe that she has not in the least benefitted by it. I propose that the advance paid to her should be waived.

In view of the pitiable and hard condition of Ruppamma, I request that Government may kindly be moved to reconsider her case and restore her claim to the Vrithi as a very special case.

As regards the recovery of the advance of ~~the~~ amount paid to other vrithidars whose claims were not recognised, I have directed the Sub Divisional Officer, French Rocks, to take necessary action against them making an exception in the case of the petitioner.

---

"COPY"

For Mysore Commissioner.

Bangalore
20th December 1932.

To.

S.P. Rajagopalachari Esq., B.A.,L.L.,
Second Member in Council to the
Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I, the undersigned Kuppamma alias Lakshmanna residing at No. 28. Sonar Street Chamarajamohalla Mysore, most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration and favourable orders.

I am a Vrittidar of Naval Krishnadoss, Agraharam charities at Srirangapatam Taluk.

The Vrithies were being endowed by Naval Krishnadoss in the year 1832 about 100 years ago. These charities are purely a private concern and managed by the Government since 1865, as per request of one Puallabayamma the hire of Naval Krishnadoss family. A written statement of Pullabayamma also has been recorded in the Srirangapatam taluk office in the year 1870, in that statement it is stated that all Vrittidars should be allowed to build their Agraharam houses in Srirangapatam Fort for which they should be paid all the accumulated amount of their Vritties income and the same has been accepted by the Government and granted some advances to all the Vrittdars.

In this connection I was also given an advance of Rs.500/- to build a house, but due to the delay in getting a site and a licence to build the house I was not able to undertake the building work and all the materials collected for the said building were being lost a d the same has been represented to the authorities then by my son-in-law the late Mr. Hosadurgam Srinivasa Raghavachar(the eldest son of the late

14

(Srikrishna Bramhatantra Parakalsawmi) who died on 5-2-1951.

I am a helpless old widow and was solely depending upon my son-in-law (the said late Mr. Hosadurgam Srinivasa Raghavachar) to whom I had entrusted to get the house built for me at Srirangapatam Fort.

The said Srinivasa Raghavachar was corresponding with all the Government offices on my behalf to get me the house built but it was in vain. I have got no other male members in my family to render me any timely help and give me instructions in the matter.

I represented all these facts to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, Muzaroy Commissioner, and the Dewan of Mysore, in person and also in most of my applications for which they were pleased to hear my grievances and promised to restore my share of Vritti claims.

The Deputy Commissioner was kind enough to submit my applications to the higher authorities with his recommendations and I was expecting some justice to be shown to me by the Government to restore my share of Vrittis and allow me to build my house at Srirangapatam Fort in the site originally granted to me.

I received an endorsement from the Financial Secretary, stating that my request cannot be granted. After seeing this order, I am almost completely ruined by the Government as I have no other source of living at this old age.

Under these circumstances I pray that you will kindly take up my pitiable case to your kind reconsideration and restore my share of Vritties at an early date taking my ignorance in the affairs and allow me to build the house in the site originally allotted to me as I have already collected all the materials for the building and thus help a poor and old widow like myself and relieve me from the poverty now I have been suffering.

For which act of kindness I shall ever be grateful to you and pray for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,

Respectful Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

This lady has been informed twice that her request for restoration of Vrittis sought to be rejected cannot be complied with.
The concerned file No 700
(Communication from the Mr on the subject dtd 10/11 & this will be placed in the file on return thereof.
9.5.1951

Left thumb mark of Kuppamma
alias Lakshmamma.

FIRST MEMBER'S COUNCIL
19 JAN. 1933
No. M.138

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
795
20 JAN 1933

TO

RAJAKARYAPRASAKTA DIWAN BAHADUR
M.N. Krishna Rao, B.A.,
First Member of Council
to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Respected Sir,

I, the undersigned Kuppamma alias Lakshmamma, residing at No.28, Sovar Street, Chamaraja Mohalla, Mysore, most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration and favourable orders.

I am a Vrittidar of Naval Krishnadoss, Agraharam charities at Srirangapatam Taluk.

The Vritties were being endowed by Naval Krishnadoss in the year 1832 about 100 years ago. These charities are purely a private concern and managed by the Government since 1865, as per request of one Pullabayamma the hire of Naval Krishnadoss family. A written statement of Pullabayamma also has been recorded in the Srirangapatam Taluk Office in the year 1870, in that statement it is stated that all Vrittidars should be allowed to build their Agraharam houses in Srirangapatam Fort for which they should be paid all the accumulated amount of their Vritties income and the same has been accepted by the Government and granted some advances to all the Vrittidars.

In this connection, I was also given an advance of Rs.500/- to build a house, but due to the delay in getting a site and a license to build the house, I was not able to undertake the building work and all the materials collected for the said building were being lost and the same has been represented to the authorities, then by my son-in-law, the late Mr. Hosadurgam Srinivasa Raghavachar (the eldest son of late Srikrishna Bramhatantra Parakalasawmi) who died on 5-2-1931.

I am a helpless old widow and was solely depending upon my

P.T.O.,

my son-in-law (the said late Mr. Hosadurgam Srinivasa Raghavachar) to whom I had entrusted to get the house built for me at Srirangapatam Fort.

The said Srinivasa Raghavachar was corresponding with all the Government Offices on my behalf to get me the house built but it was in vain. I have got no other male members in my family to render me any timely help and give me instructions in the matter.

I represented all these facts to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, Muzroy Commissioner and the Dewan of Mysore, in person and also in most of my applications for which they were pleased to hear my grievences and promised to restore my share of Vritti claims.

The Deputy Commissioner was kind enough to submit my applications to the higher authorities with his recommendations and I was expecting some justice to be shown to me by the Government to restore my share of Vrittis and allow me to build my house at Srirangapatam Fort in the site originally granted to me.

I received an endorsement from the Financial Secretary stating that my request cannot be granted. After seeing this orders, I am almost completely ruined by the Government as I have no other source of living at this old age.

Under these circumstances, I pray that you will kindly take up my piteable case to your kind reconsideration and restore my share of Vritties at an early date taking my ignorance in the affairs and allow me to build the house in the site originally alloted to me as I have already collected all the materials for the building and thus help a poor and old widow like myself and relieve me from the poverty now I have been suffering.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever be grateful to you and pray for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

BANGALORE,
19th January 1933.

Left Thumb mark of
Kuppamma alias

4-)

Read :-

Item 7 of Government Order No. 1317-21/Muz. 2[illegible]-[illegible] dated the 17th April 19[illegible] directing that necessary action be taken for reasons stated to recover from the marginally noted Vaittidans of Govl Krishna Doss Charities, advances of Rs. 500/- paid out of the said charities to each of them for the construction of A[illegible] houses at Lakshmavilasi at Seringapatam.

A. Ramasimhaiya,
P. Subba Rao,
Srinivasa Murthi,
Ananthanarthacar,
Kupparma.

2. Correspondence [illegible] with letter No. NCh/22-23 dated the 17th December 1932 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding copy of letter No. [illegible] dated the 31st October 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore proposing that the recovery of the advance of Rs. 500/- paid to Kupparma alias Lakshamma referred to in the order read above be waived as she is a Widow without any means for repaying the amount and that her case may be reconsidered, her share in the Vaitti in the Govl Krishna Doss Charities being restored to her as a special case.

Order No. 1261-4/ Muz 131-31-9

Dated, Bangalore, 25th February 1933.

In the circumstances reported Government are pleased to waive the recovery of Rs. 500/- from Kupparma alias Lakshamma as a very special case. The request for restoration of the Vaitti cannot be granted.

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
The Comptroller to Government,

The Revenue Commissioner in Cyprus,

The Superintendent, Revenue Survey & Settlements.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193* .

Date of issue 27/2/33 193 .

Signed by: Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

A. Narasimhaiya
P. Subba Rao
Srinivasa Moorthy
Ananthe Moorthyaiah
Kuppuswamy

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section

File No. 131-31

Serial No. 9

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

*Read*—

Para 4 Agly — No 1217-21/ Mug 264-22-9 dy 17.4.26 directing that necessary action be taken for reserving from the marginal Vittidars of Nond Krishnadoss Charities Rs. ~~5000~~ 5000 (out of the said Krishnadoss charities) ~~Rs 5000~~ paid in each of them for the Construction of Agrahara houses at Paschimavahini at Seringapatam.

2) Correspondence ending with letter No 474 dy 17.12.32 from the H.C. forwarding a copy of letter No 44 dy 31.10.32 from the A.C.

recovery of the

Mysore Incorporating Act in respect

of Rs 5001/- from Kuppamma

alias Lakshamma wife of

late Venkatarama Setty by

the Official Taker

in the Civil Court by waiving

the recovery of the amount

of Rs 5001/- from Kuppamma alias Lakshamma.

Copied by

Examined by

Compared by

List of Enclosure.

Order No 126-4 & 27-2-33

In the Circumstances

reported above are pleased

to waive the recovery of

Rs 5001/- from Kuppamma alias Lakshamma.

as a Pauper Special Case.

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

~~to~~ and any allowance to the petitioner - Remarks.

~~For~~ If see

~~To~~

The MC

The Land

The R-C

The Supdt Rev Survey & Settlement.

GJ JW
23/2

GPB—20,000—7-7-14

General and Revenue Secretariat,

27th February 33

1265

Rev 131-31-87

Kupparma alias Lakshamma,

28, Sonar Street, Chamaraja Mohalla, Mysore.

&&& &&&&& : 20-12-1932 and 19-1-1933.

Request for a restoration of her claim to Vritties in Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, Seringapatam.

1

27/2

Financial Secretary to Government.

Her request for restoration of the vritti cannot be granted, She is however informed that the recovery of the advance of Rs. 500/- due from her has been ordered to be waived, as a very special case.

Please quote this number in your future communications
No. 1265

**Office of the** C. I. S. ... Mysore Br. ... 53

*Dated* 27/2/1932

Mys 131-31-8

## ENDORSEMENT

To

Kushalamma alias Lakshamma
28. Sonar Street Bhamanaj mohalla
Mysore.

Reference:—~~His~~/Her ~~letter~~/petition dated 20.12.32 & 19.1.33 ...

Subject:—

Request for a restoration of her claim to
Widows in Navl Krishna vars Canal Fd

Reference is invited to item No 1. ..... ...below.

*Signature.* [illegible]

Forwd up
Letter & petn please
[illegible]

*Designation.* for H Sec.

G.S.

Her request for restoration of the Widows Canal
be granted.

1. ~~The request cannot be granted.~~ ... ~~Her allegation is drawn to Endorsement~~
~~No 4410 dated 7.8.32 & 16.11.32~~. She is informed that ~~her~~ the
2. The letter/petition has been referred ~~to~~ recovery of the allowance @ Rs 5.00/-
for... ..... ..... ..... ..... ordered to be
free from her has been waived.
As a very Spl case.

G.S. [illegible]

3. Attention is drawn to this office No. ..... dated ..... ..... ... ....
4. No... .....dated... ..... ... cannot be traced; a copy may be sent.
5. The enclosures are not received.
6. The petition is returned herewith as
   (i) it is not in the prescribed form
   or (ii) it is not duly stamped
   or (iii) it is not presented within the prescribed time
   or (iv) it is not accompanied by a copy of the order appealed from or complained of.
7. The petition is returned for presentation to .... .... ... . .
8. The petition is returned
   (*a* as it is written illegibly
   (*b*) as its subject matter is not clear
   (*c*) as it is couched in improper language

Reasons if any to be mentioned in brief

WD 01724—GPB—8,000—28 4-28




To

Rajakaryaprasakta Dewan Bahadur




M. N. Krishna Rao, Esquire., B.A.,

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

Endorsement No.1,265, Muz.131-31-7 dated 27-2-1933.

Subject :- Request for a restoration of her claims of Vrittis in Naval Krishna Dass Charities, Seringapatam.

---

Respected Sir,

The undersigned Kuppamma alias Lakshamma your humble petitioner most respectfully beg to submit for your kind consideration in the above as follows.

(1) Your kind order waiving the recovery of an advance of Rs. 500/- due from her as a special case is no doubt a great boon and help to her in the difficult times and she is too grateful to you for showing this sympathy as a special case.

(2) Her prayer for the restoration of the share of her vritties has not been considered in the same light for which she cannot help without approaching you for redress.

(3) If the vritties as prayed for are not restored she will be a completely ruined woman once for all and she will be no more on the face of the earth as he has none for her support in her old age loosing her only son-in-law on whom she was dependir for her support till now.

(4) The Government have considered favourably the claims of some of the Vrittidars of their vritties and she feels sure of her redress for the restoration of her vritties at your hands.

(5) She has already brought to your kind notice personally and in writing the difficulties she is undergoing for not restoring her vritties. If it is only the policy of the Government not to permit construction of houses at Seringapatam on account of the unhealthiness of the place she feels that it is equally hard to live in a healthy place ~~without~~ with a starving stomach and poor like herself must acclamation to the place where she can find her living.

(6) Under the above circumstances the humble petitioner prays for a special reconsideration of her case taking her ignorance in the matter and show the justice to her in getting her share of Vritties restored.

For which act of kindness and justice the humble petitioner prays for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedienr servant,

No.28, Sonar Street,
Chamaraja Mohalla,
Mysore. 24th April 1933.

Left thumb impression of
Kuppamma alias Lakshamma.

Secretariat Council
17 MAY 1933
No.

APRIL 1933

A Sum of Rs 500/- due from the Petitioner on
Building advance has been Waived & She
has been more than 4 mts informed that
her other requests cannot be complied with.
Circumstances this time be taken after Removal.

2nd Mar